



**Further rRevised HELCOM RECOMMENDATION 17/3**

(This Recommendation supersedes HELCOM Recommendation 12/2.)

Adopted 12 March 1996,  
having regard to Article 13, Paragraph b)  
of the Helsinki Convention

Revised 4 March 2015,  
having regard to Article 20, Paragraph b)  
of the Helsinki Convention

**INFORMATION AND CONSULTATION WITH REGARD TO CONSTRUCTION OF NEW INSTALLATIONS AFFECTING THE BALTIC SEA**

**THE COMMISSION,**

**RECALLING** Article 3 of the Convention on the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area, 1992 (Helsinki Convention), in which the Contracting Parties undertake to take individually or jointly all appropriate legislative, administrative or other relevant measures to prevent and eliminate pollution in order to promote the ecological restoration of the Baltic Sea and the preservation of its ecological balance,

**RECALLING ALSO** that according to Article 24 the Contracting Parties, without prejudice to their sovereign rights, agreed directly or, when appropriate, through competent regional or other international organizations, to promote studies and to undertake, support or contribute to programmes aimed at developing methods assessing the nature and extent of pollution, pathways, exposures, risks and remedies in the Baltic Sea Area,

**HAVING REGARD** to HELCOM Moscow Ministerial Declaration 2010 in which the ministers and high-level representatives stressed that the efforts to restore the good environmental status of the Baltic Sea require forceful national and international actions that exceed the capacity of any individual country and therefore the restoration also demands a common line of actions and the support of a wide range of stakeholders,

**HAVING REGARD**, for those HELCOM Contracting States being also EU Member States, to Article 4 of the EU Directive 2011/92/EU on the Assessment of the Effects of Certain Public and Private Projects on the Environment and its amendment 2014/52/EU which are in line with Article 2, para 5 of the UN/ECE Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, Espoo, 1991,

**HAVING REGARD FURTHER**, for those HELCOM Contracting States being also EU Member States, to Article 3 of the EU Directive 2001/42/EC on the Assessment of the Effects of Certain Plans and Programmes on the Environment, as well as to Article 3, para 1 and Article 4 for the Contracting Parties to the UN/ECE Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment to the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, Kyiv, 2003,

**BEING CONSCIOUS** of the particular sensitivity of the marine environment of the Baltic Sea, and of the economic, social and cultural values the Baltic Sea and its living resources represent for the peoples of the Baltic Sea States,

**BEING CONVINCED** that damage to the marine environment can be irreversible or remediable only in a long term perspective and at considerable expense and that, therefore, a principle of precautionary approach should be applied, not to wait for full and undisputed scientific proof of harmful effects before taking appropriate preventive action,

**RE-ITERATES** [revised HELCOM Recommendation 24/10 on Implementation of Integrated Coastal Management and Maritime Spatial Planning in the Baltic Sea Area](#), [revised HELCOM Recommendation 15/1 on Protection of the Coastal Strip](#), [HELCOM Recommendation 37/3 on Sustainable Aquaculture in the Baltic Sea Region](#);

**RECOMMENDS** that the Governments of the Contracting Parties to the Helsinki Commission:

**a)** inform and, where necessary, consult with any Contracting Party likely to be significantly affected by the construction of an installation with a significant potential adverse impact on the Baltic Sea where an Environmental Impact Assessment is required by either national or international law;

**or**

where the environmental significance of proposed activities (e.g. fixed links, submarine power cables [and/or pipelines](#), [new ports and port terminals incl. those for oil and gas](#), [artificial islands and offshore installations incl. those for energy production and aquaculture](#), [nuclear power plants](#), [waste management facilities incl. incineration plants](#) etc.) satisfies one or more criteria contained in the Attachment;

**a)b)** ensure that where two or more Contracting Parties share a common water body (including sediments), the relevant authorities of those countries cooperate to ensure that the significant adverse environmental effects on that body of water of a proposal (including where appropriate, the effects of related proposals and cumulative effects) are fully investigated before a decision on that proposal is made. The scope of these investigations should be agreed between the parties concerned;

**c)** inform the Commission on such installations/activities as described under Paragraph a),

**RECOMMENDS ALSO** that the Contracting Parties [report on the implementation of this Recommendation to the Commission following the format contained in Attachment 2 every four years starting in 2025 with data from 2024](#),

**DECIDES** that the criteria specified in the Attachment should be kept updated and be revised when appropriate by relevant subsidiary body in accordance with the Procedure adopted by the Commission (HELCOM 11/14, Annex 26).

**Criteria to assist in determination of environmental significance of proposed activities**

In considering proposed activities to which Paragraph a) of this Recommendation applies, the concerned Contracting Parties may use the following criteria:

- a) Category and size:
  - proposed activities fall under one of the categories specified in Appendix I to the Espoo Convention, 1991 and/or Annex I of the EIA Directive
  - proposed activities are large for this kind of activity;
  
- b) Location:
  - proposed activities are located in the Convention area;
  - proposed activities are located close to an international frontier;
  - proposed activities are located in the catchment area but could give rise to significant transboundary effects far removed from the site of development;
  - proposed activities are located close to areas of special environmental sensitivity or importance;
  
- c) Effects:
  - proposed activities cause disturbances of natural hydrological (including change of water fluxes and/or sediment transport), hydrochemical and biological regime (e.g. behaviour of fish and marine mammals);
  - proposed activities result in operational/accidental release of nutrients and/or hazardous substances.

**Attachment 2 to [Revised HELCOM Recommendation 17/3]**

- 1 Contracting Party
- 2 Reporting period
- 3 Name of activity/project being subject to information and consultation procedure
- 4 Category of activity/project
- 5 Size of activity/project (e.g. production capacity, cargo turnover, etc.)
- 6 Location of activity/project
- 7 Description of assessed environmental impact on the Baltic Sea
- 8 Contracting Parties that were informed/consulted and outcomes of these negotiations
- 9 Reference/link to EIA materials and public hearings, as well as carried out information/consultations
- 10 Any other relevant information